Registered in Germany Europa Revue Journal
ISSN: 1862-6564 Vol. 6 No. 11 (2026) Published November 05, 2026

Landscape and Characterization in a Short Story by Shukur Xolmirzayev

Abdurashid Kosimjonovich Abdurakhmonov

Head of the Department of Language Teaching Methodology Fergana Regional Center for Pedagogical Excellence, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Philological Sciences

Abstract

Landscape description provides a means of examining the relationship between environment, perception, and character in literary prose. This article investigates that relationship in Shukur Xolmirzayev's short story O'zbek xarakteri, also circulated as O'zbeklar. The study uses qualitative close reading to examine the cottage beside the cotton field, the morning landscape, and plant imagery, relating these details to narrative perspective and interpersonal conduct. The analysis distinguishes explicit textual information from interpretive inference. It identifies three connected functions of landscape: organizing the space of encounters, conveying the narrator's emotional attachment to rural life, and giving material form to relationships of hospitality and care. The morning passage characterizes the observing consciousness through selected sensory impressions, while the cottage acquires significance through the conduct associated with it. Plant details require different interpretations according to their particular narrative use; they do not support a uniform code of meanings. The discussion argues that landscape contributes to ethical characterization without making aesthetic sensitivity sufficient evidence of moral integrity. These findings concern the selected story and provide a basis for further comparative analysis rather than a general account of Uzbek prose.

Keywords

literary landscape; characterization; narrative space; close reading; Shukur Xolmirzayev; Uzbek short fiction; hospitality.

Introduction

This article examines how descriptions of the physical environment participate in the representation of human experience in Shukur Xolmirzayev's prose. A literary landscape can establish where an encounter takes place while also directing attention

toward the interests, memories, and evaluations of the person who perceives it. The analytical task is to explain how a particular description performs these functions and what textual evidence supports the proposed connection.

A distinction between landscape and narrative space helps define this task. Ryan differentiates the immediate spatial frames of narrated events from the wider setting and story space [1]. For the present analysis, landscape denotes descriptions of the outdoor environment, including cultivated ground, vegetation, weather, and sensory impressions. The cottage is considered where its position within that environment becomes relevant to an encounter. This working definition permits the analysis of a rural scene in which natural features and human habitation are closely connected, without treating every object in the story as landscape.

Narrative perspective provides a second analytical distinction. Niederhoff explains focalization in terms of the selection or restriction of narrative information and distinguishes this issue from the identity of the narrator [2]. Consequently, a description should be examined both for what it presents and for the perceptual or evaluative position through which it becomes available. An affectionate response to a rural scene is evidence about the narrated experience; it does not, by itself, establish the author's personal beliefs or the moral consistency of the character.

Frye's association of literary mythoi with the seasons offers a further point of comparison. His scheme links spring with comedy, summer with romance, autumn with tragedy, and winter with irony and satire [3]. Such correspondences can inform questions about seasonal organization, but they cannot independently determine the meaning of a particular weather image. This article therefore gives priority to the immediate narrative relations in which a landscape detail occurs.

The primary text is Xolmirzayev's O‘zbek xarakteri, available in an online transcription [4]; a separate electronic version bears the title O‘zbeklar [5]. The article asks how the rural landscape contributes to characterization through spatial arrangement, sensory perception, and the treatment of ordinary natural objects. Its contribution is a focused interpretation of these mechanisms within one story, rather than a claim to

establish an exhaustive typology of landscape or a previously undocumented feature of the author's entire work.

Materials and Methods

The study adopts a qualitative, interpretive design. The primary material consists of passages concerning the cottage beside the cotton field, the morning walk, the mulberry trees, and ituzum. These passages were selected for their explicit connections between environmental description, a perceiving character, and social interaction. Their wording and character references were checked against the online text of O‘zbek xarakteri [4]. The version titled O‘zbeklar was consulted to establish the alternative title under which the narrative circulates [5]. No claim is made that these electronic versions constitute a critical edition.

The analysis proceeded from description to interpretation. First, the relevant environmental detail and the person associated with its perception were identified. Second, the detail was considered in relation to the surrounding action and the narrator's expressed response. Third, an interpretation was accepted only where a connection could be specified between the descriptive feature and characterization, memory, or social interaction. The analytical categories were spatial organization, emotional perspective, and the significance acquired by an object through its narrative use. They function as interpretive distinctions rather than measured variables.

Character references distinguish the visiting students, including O‘rmon, from Botir, the shepherd whose household receives them [4]. Botanical names are retained where the analysis does not require a verified scientific identification. English renderings of short Uzbek phrases are supplied for this article and are not presented as quotations from a published English translation. References to the unpaginated online narrative use identifiable episodes rather than invented page numbers.

Results

Spatial arrangement and characterization. The cottage is significant first as a location within the rural scene. The primary text establishes a specific spatial relation: the cottage stands beside the irrigation channel between the students' lodging and the field, and the household belongs to Botir rather than O‘rmon [4]. The resulting spatial arrangement directs attention toward the encounter between visiting students and a shepherd's family.

This arrangement supports an interpretation of the dwelling as a point of contact. Its relative isolation makes it noticeable within the larger scene, while its association with the household allows a description of place to lead into the representation of conduct. The word "solitary" need not imply that the inhabitants are emotionally withdrawn. In the argument developed here, the tension between a separate dwelling and an open household is more useful than an automatic equation of spatial distance with social isolation.

The family's hospitality gives the cottage its ethical relevance. Material modesty does not in itself demonstrate kindness; that judgment depends on the conduct described around the dwelling. Conversely, hospitality gains particular force when considered alongside the household's limited resources. Landscape and domestic setting thus contribute to characterization by making the circumstances of an action perceptible. The proposed connection is between place, resources, and behaviour, rather than between a building's appearance and an unchanging personality.

Sensory perception and emotional attachment

The morning passage combines sunlight, birds overhead, wet leaves underfoot, and vapour rising from places where harvested material has been gathered [4]. Its effect depends on the movement of attention across different sensory registers. The scene is experienced while walking: visual observation is joined to bodily contact with the path and vegetation. This arrangement places the observer within the described environment and makes perception part of the represented activity.

The phrase "namxush so‘qmoqlardan yurish," rendered here as "walking along pleasantly damp paths," condenses that relationship. Dampness is both a physical quality

and an agreeable sensation for the speaker. The accompanying expression of enjoyment provides a textual basis for identifying emotional attachment. The analysis therefore need not assume that a beautiful landscape invariably produces a positive response. In this passage, the response is supplied by the narrator and can be examined as part of characterization.

The description also suggests a form of attention directed toward ordinary rural details. Birds, leaves, and the path are not presented merely as coordinates needed to locate the action. Their inclusion reveals what the speaker finds worth remembering and communicating. The connection with inward experience can therefore be stated precisely: the passage characterizes an observing consciousness through the selection and evaluation of details.

A distinction must nevertheless be maintained between perceptual sensitivity and ethical conduct. Enjoyment of rural surroundings establishes an attachment to a place. It does not demonstrate that the observer will consistently understand or respond adequately to the people who inhabit it. The landscape passage provides one dimension of characterization, which must remain open to qualification by subsequent behaviour.

Plant imagery and narrative function

The mulberry trees and ituzum invite different readings because their narrative uses differ. The analysis must establish what each plant does in its particular scene. The mulberry trees contribute to the recognizable rural environment. On the evidence considered here, their presence alone is insufficient to establish a reference to immortality or a universal tree-of-life pattern. Such a claim would require additional verbal or structural support.

Ituzum has a more explicit connection with an interpersonal action. In the story, Botir supplies it as a household remedy, and it recalls the narrator’s grandmother [4]. This textual relation allows the plant to be interpreted through care and remembered domestic practice. The article addresses that literary function only; the narrative episode is not evidence of medical efficacy. Retaining the Uzbek name also avoids making the interpretation depend on a botanical identification that the literary analysis does not establish.

These details support different levels of inference. The mulberry trees can be discussed as elements of setting and perception. Ituzum participates directly in an exchange and in recollection. The stronger claim about its contribution to characterization arises from that connection, rather than from a predetermined meaning attached to the plant. The cultural significance of vegetation can thus be considered through a specific practice rather than assumed from the mere presence of a plant.

Discussion

The findings identify several relations through which landscape contributes to the representation of character. Spatial organization makes encounters possible and gives them a material setting. Sensory description reveals a particular way of attending to the surroundings. A plant acquires further significance when it enters an exchange between people or evokes a remembered practice. The same descriptive detail may participate in more than one of these relations, but its functions should be established separately.

Ryan's distinction between immediate spatial frames and the broader setting helps clarify why the cottage and cotton field should be considered together [1]. Their relation matters to the encounter under analysis. Niederhoff's account of focalization, meanwhile, supports the separation of environmental description from an unrestricted statement of authorial judgment [2]. These theoretical distinctions make it possible to discuss landscape without reducing it either to a physical backdrop or to a direct expression of the author's worldview.

The close reading also places limits on claims about the ethical effect of rural beauty. The story's conflict places pressure on any simple correspondence between sensitivity and goodness. Other students steal Botir's lamb, while the narrator's withdrawal from the family is eventually understood by the hosts as disdain [4]. This complication suggests that sympathetic feeling and the communication of sympathy are different matters. As an interpretation, landscape establishes the emotional investment that makes the failure of understanding consequential; it does not resolve that failure.

The seasonal approach requires similar restraint. Frye's scheme provides a comparative vocabulary for relating narrative patterns to the year [3], but a seasonal reference cannot substitute for analysis of action and perspective. A damp path, for

example, can be agreeable to the perceiving character even when moisture elsewhere is associated with discomfort. Its meaning depends on its presentation and use. The present evidence supports a relational reading of landscape rather than a fixed correspondence between natural conditions and human states.

The study also qualifies claims about national character. The family's hospitality can be interpreted as a value foregrounded by this particular narrative. A single fictional household, however, does not supply empirical evidence about every member of a national community. The distinction preserves the cultural importance of the story while avoiding a generalization that the selected material cannot establish.

Several limitations define the scope of the findings. The analysis concerns selected passages from one narrative and uses accessible electronic texts rather than a critically established print edition. It does not measure reader responses, count landscape features across a corpus, or determine how frequently the identified functions occur in Xolmirzayev's fiction. Statements about emotional effects therefore describe interpretive possibilities supported by the language, not experimentally demonstrated reactions. Further work could compare the same functions across securely identified editions and additional stories.

Conclusion

In the passages examined, landscape contributes to characterization through the organization of encounters, the presentation of sensory experience, and the involvement of natural objects in acts of care and recollection. The cottage, morning path, mulberry trees, and ituzum perform different functions and require different degrees of interpretive caution. Their significance becomes clearest when description is connected with perception and action.

The central conclusion is that landscape helps articulate the relationship between attachment to rural life and the difficulties of interpersonal understanding. It makes that relationship available for interpretation without guaranteeing the moral consistency of the perceiving character. A precise account of landscape must therefore identify who perceives a detail, how it enters the narrative, and which aspects of characterization the surrounding text actually supports.

References

[1] Ryan, M.-L. (2014). Space. In P. Hühn et al. (Eds.), The living handbook of narratology. Hamburg: Hamburg University. Revised April 22, 2014. https://www-archiv.fdm.uni-hamburg.de/lhn/node/55.html

[2] Niederhoff, B. (2013). Focalization. In P. Hühn et al. (Eds.), The living handbook of narratology. Hamburg: Hamburg University. Revised September 24, 2013. https://www-archiv.fdm.uni-hamburg.de/lhn/node/18.html

[3] Frye, N. (2000). Anatomy of criticism: Four essays. With a foreword by Harold Bloom. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. (Original work published 1957.) See the sections on spring (p. 163), summer (p. 186), autumn (p. 206), and winter (p. 223). Edition record and contents: https://www.fulcrum.org/concern/monographs/qr46r1349

[4] Xolmirzayev, S. (2013, November 2). O‘zbek xarakteri [Uzbek character]. Ziyouz. Online Uzbek text; dated 1988 at the end of the narrative. https://www.ziyouz.com/portal-haqida/xarita/uzbek-nasri/shukur-xolmirzayev-1940-2005/shukur-xolmirzayev-o-zbek-xarakteri-hikoya

[5] Xolmirzayev, S. (n.d.). O‘zbeklar [The Uzbeks] [Electronic Uzbek text]. University-hosted PDF. Publisher and publication year are not stated in the consulted file. https://edu.utu-ranch.uz/media/files/2024/09/21/Shukur_Xolmirzayev._Ozbeklar_e_kutubxona.pdf

All online sources accessed November 1, 2026. English title glosses are descriptive translations supplied for this article.